
December 1993 Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa IFUP-TH 62/93

Bosonization and the lattice Gross-Neveu model

Matteo Beccaria^{1,2,*}

(1) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pisa

Piazza Torricelli 2, I-56100 Pisa, Italy

(2) I.N.F.N., sez. di Pisa

Via Livornese 582/a, I-56010 S. Piero a Grado (Pisa) Italy

Abstract

We consider a lattice version of the bosonized Gross-Neveu model. It is explicitly chiral symmetric and its numerical simulation does not involve any anticommuting field. We study its non trivial $1/N$ expansion up to the next-to-leading term comparing the results with explicit numerical simulations.

(*) beccaria@hpth4.difi.unipi.it, beccaria@vaxsns.sns.it

1. Introduction

It is a well known property of two dimensional space-time that a free massless fermion is equivalent to a free massless boson [1]. At the operator level, we can find a mapping, the Mandelstam representation [2], which solves the fermionic field equations in terms of bosonic operators. The vector and axial currents, together with the energy momentum tensor satisfy the same Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in the two formulations [3] allowing for a full correspondence. The same renormalized Green functions are obtained for the usual bilinear local fermionic operators and suitable local bosonic operators. The mapping can be extended to interacting models. Bosonization is somewhat peculiar of $1 + 1$ dimensions where the spin assignment is arbitrary but, on the other hand, these models can help in studying physically interesting properties of realistic theories like confinement, chiral symmetry breaking and the baryonic spectrum. From the point of view of the non perturbative analysis, we show in this letter how the bosonization technique is useful in solving the problems encountered in the simulation of fermionic models. The expensive inversion of the fermionic propagator is a serious obstacle for numerical methods. Moreover, for a large class of lattice models, the interplay between fermion doubling and chiral symmetry [4] complicates the analysis of the continuum limit. The bosonization approach is, in our opinion, powerful in both respects. It avoids Grassmann fields *ab initio* and may potentially overcome the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [4] as shown in [5]. There are also additional advantages concerning the so-called Symanzik improvement, but we postpone their discussion. In this letter, we propose a lattice version of the two dimensional Gross-Neveu model. It is a favoured theoretical laboratory for the analysis and simulation of fermionic models because it has nice analytical properties: it dynamically breaks a discrete chiral symmetry and is $1/N$ expandable allowing for an important check of the simulation [6, 7, 8]. Its spectrum of bound states is described by a semiclassical formula from which mass ratios can be extracted. We shall not consider here the problem of non-Abelian bosonization [9] and the number of flavors N

will be only an expansion parameter. As a consequence, the largest part of the model's symmetry will be non linearly realized on the bosonic fields.

2. The continuum model

The 1 + 1 free massive fermion action may be bosonized in terms of the Mandelstam representation [2] which expresses left and right handed Weyl fermions using a scalar massless field $\varphi(x)$:

$$\psi_L = \sqrt{\frac{c\mu}{2\pi}} : \exp \left\{ -i\sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x d\xi \pi(\xi) + \varphi(x) \right) \right\} : , \quad (1)$$

$$\psi_R = \sqrt{\frac{c\mu}{2\pi}} : \exp \left\{ -i\sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x d\xi \pi(\xi) - \varphi(x) \right) \right\} : . \quad (2)$$

where $\pi(x) = \partial_0 \varphi(x)$ and $c = \frac{1}{2}e^\gamma$ is related to the short distance expansion of the two dimensional free bosonic propagator. The normal ordering [10] is performed with respect to the mass μ ¹. The bosonization dictionary is

$$\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \quad \bar{\psi} \psi \rightarrow \frac{c\mu}{\pi} : \cos \beta \varphi : \quad \beta^2 = 4\pi. \quad (4)$$

Actually, the above construction can be extended to the massive Thirring model and Eqs. 4 correspond to the limit of vanishing interaction. In the general case, β is a function of the four-fermion coupling. Our starting observation is that the purely fermionic Gross-Neveu model may be formulated recasting the four fermion interaction in the form of a space-time dependent mass term. This suggests a possible bosonization procedure which is particularly useful for an expansion in the number of flavours. In the continuum, the fermionic Gross-Neveu model is described by the tree level action

$$S = \int d^2x \left(\bar{\psi}^{(\alpha)} \not{\partial} \psi^{(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{2}g^2 \left(\bar{\psi}^{(\alpha)} \psi^{(\alpha)} \right)^2 \right) \quad \alpha = 1 \cdots N, \quad (5)$$

¹We recall that in a continuum model with the cut-off scale Λ , we have

$$: \cos \beta \varphi := \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \cos \beta \varphi \quad (3)$$

where μ is an arbitrary renormalization scale.

where $\psi^{(\alpha)}$ is a multiplet of two-dimensional spinors. Rewriting the quartic interaction with a Lagrange multiplier (see [11] for a complete discussion of the model renormalization in this formulation) we obtain

$$S = \int d^2x \left(\bar{\psi}^{(\alpha)} \not{\partial} \psi^{(\alpha)} + \sigma \bar{\psi}^{(\alpha)} \psi^{(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2g^2} \sigma^2 \right). \quad (6)$$

The above dictionary for bosonization can be used. At the level of formal manipulations, the σ field is just a combinatorial device and our scheme is equivalent to Witten's treatment of the bosonized Gross-Neveu model [12, 13, 14]. We now propose a lattice model and show several indications that it can be used to study the bosonic sector of the Gross-Neveu model, but it must be kept in mind that the precise nature and existence of its continuum limit is an open problem.

3. The lattice model

We want to follow the analysis in [6]. Therefore, we write on the lattice

$$S = \sum_n \frac{N}{2\lambda} \sigma_n^2 + S_{\text{kin}}(\Phi) + S_{\text{int}}(\sigma, \Phi), \quad (7)$$

where Φ is a set of fields which we now specify. For the fermionic model of [6], Φ is just a multiplet of N Dirac fermions $\psi^{(\alpha)}$, $S_{\text{kin}}(\psi)$ is some lattice kinetic action which avoids doubling and

$$S_{\text{int}}(\sigma, \psi) = \sum_{n,\alpha} \bar{\psi}_n^\alpha \psi_n^\alpha \sigma_n. \quad (8)$$

For the bosonized model, Φ is a set of N massless bosonic fields $\varphi^{(\alpha)}$, $S_{\text{kin}}(\varphi)$ is

$$S_{\text{kin}}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\mu^+ \varphi_n^\alpha \Delta_\mu^+ \varphi_n^\alpha \quad \Delta_\mu^+ \varphi_n^\alpha = \varphi_{n+\hat{\mu}}^\alpha - \varphi_n^\alpha \quad (9)$$

and

$$S_{\text{int}}(\sigma, \varphi) = -\omega \sum_{n,\alpha} \sigma_n \cos \beta \varphi_n^\alpha. \quad (10)$$

The constant ω comes from a tadpole computation. In a free scalar theory with mass μ one can write

$$\langle \cos \beta \varphi_n \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \Delta_{n,n}} , \quad (11)$$

and therefore

$$\mu : \cos \beta \varphi : = \frac{1}{a} R(\mu) \cos \beta \varphi \quad R(\mu) = \mu \exp \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{p}^2 + \mu^2} . \quad (12)$$

We take

$$\omega = \frac{c R(0)}{\pi} = \frac{c 2^{5/2}}{\pi} \simeq 1.6035286 . \quad (13)$$

The Z_2 symmetry

$$\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \frac{\pi}{\beta} \quad (14)$$

is unbroken at the level of Feynman rules and corresponds to the following symmetry of the fermionic realization

$$\psi \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow -\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \quad \sigma \rightarrow -\sigma. \quad (15)$$

This symmetry is dynamically broken: the σ field acquires a non zero expectation value which explains infrared stability. As in the fermionic model, volume effects may be strongly reduced utilizing the Symanzik improvement [15]. Here comes another advantage of the bosonized model: the Symanzik improvement adds no more difficulties to the numerical simulation. On the other hand, in the fermionic model, the additional interactions reduce the extent to which the fermionic matrix is sparse. As a consequence, preconditioning becomes extremely more difficult. As an aside, we remark that an alternative approach to the introduction of the auxiliary field would be to bosonize the purely fermionic model. The operator product expansion of the four-fermion interaction alters the kinetical term and fixes a trajectory in the (λ, β) plane along which the critical point is reached [16]. In [12, 13, 14], this kind of bosonization has been applied to the Gross-Neveu model in order to obtain important analytical results in the continuum at particular values of

N . Here, we have chosen to introduce the field σ to mimic the $1/N$ expansion of the purely fermionic model.

4. The $N = \infty$ limit

At leading order, the effective action for the σ field is

$$\Gamma(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{L^2} \log \langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle \quad (16)$$

where L is the lattice side, σ is kept space-time independent and the vacuum expectation value is computed with respect to the free bosonic action. In the fermionic model, the interaction action is simply a mass term and therefore the second term in Γ can be explicitly evaluated

$$\frac{1}{L^2} \log \langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle = \frac{1}{L^2} \log \det(\bar{\Delta}_\mu \gamma_\mu + \sigma) = \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \log(\bar{\Delta}_\mu \gamma_\mu + \sigma) . \quad (17)$$

If we have instead

$$S_{\text{int}} = -\omega \sum_n \cos \beta \varphi_n \quad (18)$$

then a straightforward computation is not possible any more. However, we can consider

$$F_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = -\Gamma'(\sigma) = F_{\text{cl}}(\sigma) + F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma) \quad (19)$$

$$F_{\text{cl}}(\sigma) = -\frac{\sigma}{\lambda} \quad (20)$$

$$F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma) = \frac{\omega}{L^2} \langle \sum_n \cos \beta \varphi_n \rangle \quad (21)$$

where the vacuum expectation value is taken now with respect to the action of the $N = 1$ model. A Monte Carlo calculation can give very precise results without being too expensive. We expect to find

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \pm\infty} F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma) = \pm\omega \quad F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma) = -F_{\text{qu}}(-\sigma) . \quad (22)$$

On a finite lattice $F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma)$ has a finite slope as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ which signals the existence of a finite volume critical coupling for chiral symmetry breaking.

Asymptotic scaling depends on the non trivial infinite volume, small σ behaviour of $F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma)$. We want to show that the solution of $F_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = 0$ satisfies the scaling law

$$\sigma = \Lambda_B \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right) \quad (23)$$

This is true if we prove that the expansion

$$F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma) = \text{const } \sigma - \frac{1}{\pi} \sigma \log \sigma + O(\sigma^2 \log^k \sigma) \quad (24)$$

does hold. However, a naive expansion of $\langle \cos \beta \varphi \rangle$ in powers of σ fails when $L \rightarrow \infty$. The first terms are indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cos \beta \varphi \rangle &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 J_0} + \omega \sigma \sum_n e^{-\beta^2 J_0} (\cosh \beta^2 J_n - 1) + \dots \\ &= c_0(L) + \omega \sigma c_1(L) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$J_n = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_p e^{ipn} \frac{1}{\hat{p}^2} \quad (26)$$

computed introducing antiperiodic boundary conditions. At a given σ we cannot send $L \rightarrow \infty$ because $c_1(L) \rightarrow \infty$. The question is: how can we relate the IR leading logarithms of the $\sigma = 0$, $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit to those of the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, $L = \infty$ one? Let us consider a function $F(\sigma, L)$ such that the $F(\sigma, \infty)$ exists and is non analytic at $\sigma = 0$. At fixed L , we can expand in powers of σ

$$F(\sigma, L) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} c_\alpha(L) \sigma^\alpha \quad (27)$$

with vanishing radius of convergence as $L \rightarrow \infty$. In this model, the volume effects can be kept constant uniformly in σ by fixing the adimensional variable $S = \sigma L$. Therefore the leading IR logs are buried in the expansion

$$G(\sigma) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} c_\alpha \left(\frac{k}{\sigma} \right) \sigma^\alpha. \quad (28)$$

This simple approach works if the arbitrary constant k is irrelevant for the determination of the logarithms. This is the case for $F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma)$ ². A numerical investigation gives as $L \rightarrow \infty$

$$c_0(L) \sim \frac{\text{const}}{L} , \quad (32)$$

$$c_1(L) \sim \nu \log L . \quad (33)$$

With $\nu \simeq 0.12379219$ from which it follows that $\omega^2 \nu$ is equivalent to $1/\pi$ up to our precision. Besides this strong numerical indication of asymptotic scaling in the $N = \infty$ limit, we give a sketchy analytical confirmation that the slope is indeed the correct one. Let us start from

$$X = 2e^{-\beta^2 J_0} \sum_n \sinh^2 \frac{\beta^2}{2} J_n . \quad (34)$$

If we introduce a regularization mass μ^2 and go to the continuum in the second factor

$$X \rightarrow 2 \exp -\beta^2 \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{p}^2 + \mu^2} \cdot \int d^2 x \sinh^2 \frac{\beta^2}{2} \langle \phi(x) \phi(0) \rangle . \quad (35)$$

The leading singularity in the $\mu \rightarrow 0$ limit is

$$\langle \phi(x) \phi(0) \rangle \simeq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \sqrt{x^2} c \mu \quad (36)$$

² Another example is the case of $c_0(L)$. A numerical evaluation gives with great accuracy

$$c_0(L) \sim \frac{\text{const}}{L} . \quad (29)$$

We can assume

$$\sum_p \frac{1}{\hat{p}^2} \sim \text{const} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log L . \quad (30)$$

Then, if one substitutes $L \sim \frac{1}{\mu}$, the correct logarithm in the exact expansion of

$$\int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{p}^2 + \mu^2} \quad (31)$$

as a function of μ is indeed obtained.

whence, formally

$$X = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\omega^2} \int d^2x \frac{1}{x^2} . \quad (37)$$

We integrate this ill-defined quantity between the circles $\rho = a$ and $\rho = L$ to pick up the volume dependence and get

$$X = \frac{1}{\pi\omega^2} \log \frac{L}{a} . \quad (38)$$

5. $1/N$ corrections

The next to leading corrections in the $1/N$ expansion take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\sigma) = & \frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{L^2} \log \langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle + \\ & + \frac{1}{2N} \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \Pi(p, \sigma) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) ; \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$\Pi(p, \sigma)$ is the one particle irreducible σ self energy computed with the $N = 1$ action in which the dynamical field σ is replaced by its $N = \infty$ value. In the fermionic model, $\Pi(p, \sigma)$ is just a one loop lattice Feynman diagram. In the bosonized model, the numerical determination of $\Pi(p, \sigma)$ is not straightforward. We must utilize a Monte Carlo simulation to obtain the σ field two point function.

$$- \omega^2 (\langle \cos \beta \varphi(x) \cos \beta \varphi(y) \rangle - \langle \cos \beta \varphi \rangle^2) \quad (40)$$

giving just $\Pi(x - y, \sigma)$. By Fourier transforming, we obtain $\Pi(p, \sigma)$ and can compute the desired $O(1/N)$ correction. We then write

$$\Gamma(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda} + \Gamma_1(\sigma) + \frac{1}{N} \Gamma_2(\sigma) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \quad (41)$$

and expand in powers of $1/N$ the solution of $\Gamma'(\sigma) = 0$:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \frac{1}{N} \sigma_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) . \quad (42)$$

The desired correction is therefore

$$\sigma_1 = -\frac{\Gamma'_2(\sigma_0)}{1/\lambda - F'_{\text{qu}}(\sigma_0)} . \quad (43)$$

It is odd in σ consistently with the unbroken discrete chiral symmetry.

6. The simulation

The simulation of the model has been performed using the Hybrid Monte Carlo algorithm [17]. As a first step, we have determined $F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma)$ on a 40^2 lattice varying σ over a wide range of values using the $N = 1$ model. The resulting plot is shown in Fig. 1. At $L = 40$, the critical coupling for chiral symmetry breaking is about $\lambda_c \simeq 0.38$. Using the couplings λ which can be extracted from that figure, we have studied the behaviour of $F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma_2(\sigma)$ around $\sigma = 0.2, 0.25, 0.3$ in order to determine with sufficient numerical accuracy the quantities needed to compute σ_1 . Then, we have simulated the full model with $N = 20$ flavours. In Tab. 1, we show at three values of λ , the leading $1/N$ prediction for $\langle\sigma\rangle$, its $1/N$ correction, the $1/N$ corrected value considering $N = 20$ flavors and the explicit numerical data including the measure of $\langle\sigma^2\rangle_c = \langle\sigma^2\rangle - \langle\sigma\rangle^2$. We see that the leading correction is necessary. The theoretical error on the correction is rather large; the discrepancy with the numerical data is therefore about $(8 \pm 5)\%$. All these simulations has been performed using the 40^2 lattice and a non-improved action. We also simulated at the lower $\lambda = 0.49$ utilizing a 60^2 lattice. We did not compute the theoretical $1/N$ corrections, but used this point just to look at the behaviour of

$$R(\lambda) = \langle\sigma\rangle \exp\left(\frac{N}{N-1} \frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right) \quad (44)$$

which is also reported in Tab. 1. Having at our disposition two different lattice models, we can relate the approximate finite renormalization shift in the coupling to the Λ parameters ratio. In [6], we find the value of λ at which the Symanzik action gives $\langle\sigma\rangle = 0.1488, 0.2, 0.25$ and 0.3 at $N = \infty$,

$L = \infty$. Using

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_B} - \frac{1}{\lambda_F} = \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda_B}{\Lambda_F} \quad (45)$$

and the result on the $N = \infty$, $L = 40$ lattice for the bosonized model, we obtain $\Lambda_B = 90.6, 85.0, 80.7, 75.2$. The different results at different λ are due to finite size effects at $L = 40$ (60 for the first point) with the non-improved action and to non universal terms of the lattice β function. The values $|R - \Lambda_B|/\Lambda_B$, around 10%, may be explained with the $1/N$ corrections affecting Λ_B .

7. Conclusions

We have proposed a possible lattice action for a bosonization of the Gross-Neveu model. There are many advantages with respect to the fermionic formulation from the point of view of the Monte Carlo simulation. There are no anticommuting fields and fermion doubling can be naturally avoided. The computer codes are extremely fast and there is no need for any fine tuning in order to restore the Z_2 chiral symmetry. In this letter we were not interested in studying scaling properties or the mass spectrum of the model. Instead, we have shown the great simplicity and efficiency of its numerical simulation including its $1/N$ expansion. A detailed description of the simulation including scaling results, a study of the lightest bosonic bound states and a thorough analysis of the Symanzik improved action will be given elsewhere. To be fair, we must remark once again that we limit our analysis to the bosonic sector. In our opinion, work on lattice actions for the bosonized QCD₂ could be extremely interesting.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Prof. Giuseppe Curci for constant encouragement. I am grateful to Prof. Paolo Rossi for many stimulating discussions and for a careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1975) 2088.
- [2] Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 3026.
- [3] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, WIS-92/54/June-PH.
- [4] H.B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 20; B 195 (1992) 541; B 193 (1981) 173.
- [5] P. Hasenfratz and M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. 220 (1989) 215.
- [6] M. Campostrini, G. Curci and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B 314, (1989) 467.
- [7] M. Campostrini and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B 329 (1990) 753.
- [8] M. Beccaria, G. Curci, accepted for publication on Phys. Rev. D.
- [9] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 92 (1984) 455.
- [10] S. Coleman, Ann. Phys. 101 (1976) 239.
- [11] C. Luperini and P. Rossi, Ann. Phys. 212 (1991) 371.
- [12] R. Shankar and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 141 (1978) 349.
- [13] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 142 (1978) 285.
- [14] R. Shankar, Phys. Lett. 92 B (1980) 333.
- [15] K. Symanzik, in 'Mathematical Problems in theoretical Physics', Lecture notes in physics 153, ed. R. Schrader et al. (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- [16] L. Girardello, G. Immirzi and P. Rossi, Phys. Lett. 109 B (1982) 299.
- [17] S. Duane, A. D. Kennedy, B.J. Pendleton and D. Rowan, Phys. Lett. 195B, 216 (1987).

Table 1: Summary of the results

λ	σ_0	σ_1	$\sigma_0 + \sigma_1/20$	$\langle \sigma \rangle$	$\langle \sigma^2 \rangle_c$	R
0.49000	0.1488	—	—	0.090(2)	0.0606(3)	77(2)
0.51905	0.2000	-1.7(2)	0.12(1)	0.127(1)	0.0681(6)	74.6(6)
0.54376	0.2500	-2.1(2)	0.15(1)	0.166(1)	0.0744(6)	72.7(4)
0.56876	0.3000	-2.4(2)	0.18(1)	0.209(1)	0.0807(7)	70.0(3)

Figure 1: $F_{\text{qu}}(\sigma)$ on the 40^2 lattice